

FIR No. 258/03

PS Saraswati Vihar

04/02/2012

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Accused Umesh Kumar is absent.

Process Server Ct. Vinod is present in pursuant of B/Ws issued against him. At oral request B/Ws issued against him is cancelled subject to warning.

Report on process under Section 82 Cr.P.C perused. Accused has not appeared despite execution of processes. There is no reason to disbelieve the report of process server. Statement of process server Ct. Vinod has been recorded separately . In view of the statement of process server and persistent absence of the accused, the Court is satisfied that the process has been carried out as per law and that the accused is absconding. Accordingly, accused Umesh Kumar is declared absconder.

As per report on process under section 83 [Cr.P.C](#), nothing could be attached as accused Umesh Kumar was not found residing at given address.

Put up on 22.12.2012 for P.E. U/s. 299 Cr.P.C.

BHUPINDER SINGH
MM - 01
Rohini Courts/Delhi
04/02/2012

FIR No. 217/00

PS Ashok Vihar

04/02/2012

File taken up today as accused Jai Prakash who was declared P.O. by this court on 17.11.2011 was arrested vide kalandra U/s. 41.1 (c) Cr.P.C and was produced before Ld. Duty M.M. on 03.02.2012.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Accused Jai Prakash produced from J/C.

Accused Hira Lal is P.O.

Accused Vinod Kumar is on bail but the next date of hearing qua him is fixed for 31.08.2012 for P.E. Court notice be issued to him for appearance on 03.03.2012.

Previous date of 31.08.2012 stand cancelled.

Put up on 03.03.2012 for P.E.

Rehnumai on 18.02.2012.

BHUPINDER SINGH
MM - 01
Rohini Courts/Delhi
04/02/2012

Ashu Garg V/s. Vinod Kumar Garg

C.C. No. 01/1/12

04/02/2012

File taken up today at the instance of Ld. Counsel for the complainant for placing on record certain documents.

Present: Sh. Pankaj Jain Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

Heard.

File perused.

Perusal of the file reveals that the address of both the respondents as well as of the complainant falls within the jurisdiction of PS Rani Bagh and not Saraswati Vihar as mentioned in the complainant. Accordingly, let the file be placed before Ld. ACMM/NW today itself at 02:00 pm with the request to assign the same to the court of competent jurisdiction.

Complainant is directed to be present before the concerned court.

Ahlmad is directed to place the file before the concerned court within stipulated time.

BHUPINDER SINGH
MM - 01
Rohini Courts/Delhi
04/02/2012

C.C. No. **D.D.A** **V/s.** **Kishan Lal**

04/02/2012

I am also duty M.M. today.

Present: None for the complainant.
Accused on bail with Ld. Counsel Sh. M.M. Kumar.
Heard.
No time left.
Put up on 09.02.2012 for clarifications/orders.

BHUPINDER SINGH
MM - 01
Rohini Courts/Delhi
04/02/2012

FIR No. 791/01

PS Saraswati Vihar

04/02/2012

I am also duty M.M. today.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Accused Ravinder Yadav on bail with Ld. Counsel.

At request of Ld. Counsel for the accused, adjourned for
09.02.2012 at 02:00 pm for advancing final arguments.

BHUPINDER SINGH
MM - 01
Rohini Courts/Delhi
04/02/2012

FIR No. 846/98

PS Saraswati Vihar

04/02/2012

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Accused Ravinder already convicted.

Accused Joginder is P.O vide order dated 14.12.2010.

Accused Vinod is P.O as reflected in order sheets dated 02.08.2002.

Proceedings against accused Teerath Ram has been abated vide order dated 10.09.2001.

Accused Kanwar Singh, Manoj and Sanjay were discharged vide order dated 25.04.2003.

Accused Sandeep, Karnail Kaur and Chander Kaur on bail.

Complainant Raj Pal be summoned through SHO PS concerned for 09.02.2012.

IO be also summoned for the date fixed.

BHUPINDER SINGH
MM - 01
Rohini Courts/Delhi
04/02/2012

FIR No. 324/11

PS Subhash Place

04/02/2012

Bail bonds put up today by the Ahlmad.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Ahlmad of the court.

Bail bonds/bail order perused.

Vide order dated 20.12.2011 Ld. District Judge & Addl. Sessions Judge accused Hind Pal Singh was granted interim bail till next date of hearing i.e. 03.02.2012 and as per order dated 03.02.2012 of Ld. ASJ – 02/Outer/Rohini, the interim bail has been extended till 02.03.2012.

Perusal of the bail bond reveals that the same has been accepted by the Ld. Link M.M. without mentioning the period till which it shall be in force. Accordingly, **notice be issued to the accused as well as to his surety through SHO PS concerned, returnable on 02.03.2012.**

Till then as per the order received from Ld. ASJ – 02/Outer/Rohini, the bail bonds/surety bonds are accepted.

BHUPINDER SINGH
MM - 01
Rohini Courts/Delhi
04/02/2012

Association for consumer action on safety and health V/s. Nestle India Ltd.

C.C. No. 343/1/94

04/02/2012

I am also duty M.M. today.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Sh. Ajay Kumar Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

AR of the complainant is absent.

Sh. D.H. Kotak, AR of the accused company along with Ld. Counsel Sh. Rajesh Batra.

Ld. Counsel for the complainant has orally prayed for exemption from personal appearance of the AR of the complainant. Heard and allowed for today only.

At joint request, adjourned for 18.02.2012 at 02:00 pm for framing of charge.

BHUPINDER SINGH
MM - 01
Rohini Courts/Delhi
04/02/2012

FIR No. 1241/06

PS Sultanpuri.

04/02/2012

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant/accused on bail with Ld. Proxy Counsel Sh. A.K. Chaudhary.

IO SI Pradhuman Kumar in person.

Injured Devender in person.

Previous conviction report has been filed by the IO which is favourable to the accused.

IO has identified the injured Devender and attested his signature as well as thumb impression.

At joint request adjourned for 21.03.2012 for further proceedings.

BHUPINDER SINGH
Plea Bargaining Judge
Rohini Courts/Delhi
04/02/2012

FIR No. 26/12

PS Ashok Vihar

04/02/2012

I am also duty M.M. today.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

From the facts as mentioned in the FIR it seems that the ingredients of offence of which the accused persons have been charged i.e. section 40 (B) Delhi Excise Act is not made out. It is not mentioned whether they were consuming alcohol in the public place. There are only allegations of being drunk and using abusive language.

SHO PS Ashok Vihar is directed to furnish written explanation as to the reasons for booking the accused persons in section 40 (B) Delhi Excise Act.

Now to come up for explanation on 09.02.2012.

BHUPINDER SINGH
MM - 01
Rohini Courts/Delhi
04/02/2012